DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CSC 428F/2514F

HUMAN-COMPUTER INTERACTION

Lecture 19

INFORMATION PROCESSING MODELS OF USERS AND DIALOGUES

Ronald Baecker Professor of Computer Science, Electrical and Computer Engineering, and Management University of Toronto

> Copyright © 1987-97, Ronald Baecker. All rights reserved.

19.1 The Human Information Processing System

4 subsystems (Fig. 19.1)

Sensing subsystems: *Physical Stimulus —> Sensation* e.g., Amplitude of sound —>Loudness

More on this (via psychophysics) in 19.2

Feedback loops, expectation, vigilance, etc., not shown

Qualitative. Can we get quantitative?

Figure 19.1: The Human Information Processing System (Van Cott & Warrick, p. 12)

19.2 The Sensing System: The Psychophysics Viewpoint

Distinguish between:

Physical stimulus magnitude (M) Psychological sensation (S) Visual intensity **Brightness** Wavelength Hue Aural intensity Loudness Frequency Pitch

Power Law of Sensation: *S=M ^p* e.g., $p = 0.33$ for visual brightness $p = 3.5$ for electric current applied to finger

Sensitivity range along a stimulus dimension (from minimum perceivable to maximum tolerable): Visual intensity: 10⁻⁶ mLumen to 10⁴ mLumen Wavelength of light: 300 nm to 800 nmm Sound frequency of pure tone: 20 Hz to 20,000 Hz

Just Noticeable Difference (JND): Relative discrimination sensitivity Ability to detect change in one stimulus Ability to detect difference between two stimuli Typically, JND in stimulus I: ∆*I= a constant* (Weber's Law) *I*

Ability to make absolute judgments of stimuli or their magnitude along some dimension:

570 relatively discriminable intensities (white light) But only 3 to 5 absolutely discriminable intensities 325 relatively discriminable intensities (2000 Hz sound) But only 3 to 5 absolutely discriminable intensities

19.3 The Psychology of HCI — Card, Moran, & Newell

Card, S.K., Moran, T.P., & Newell, A., *The Psychology of Human-Computer Interaction*, Erlbaum, 1983; Also, BB, pp. 180-91; BGBG, Ch. 9 and Card & Moran paper

Goal: An applied information-processing psychology of humancomputer interfaces

Scenario (CMN, pp. 9-10)

"A system designer, the head of a small team writing the specifications for a desktop calendar-scheduling system, is choosing between having users type a key for each command and having them point to a menu with a lightpen. On his whiteboard, he lists some representative tasks users of his system must perform. In two columns, he writes the steps needed by the "key-command" and "menu" options. From a handbook, he culls the times for each step, adding the step times to get total task times. The key-command system takes less time, but only slightly. But, applying the analysis from another section of the handbook, he calculates that the menu system will be faster to learn; in fact, it will be learnable in half the time. He has estimated previously that an effective menu system will require a more expensive processor: 20% more memory, 100% more microcode memory, and a more expensive display. Is the extra expenditure worthwhile? A few more minutes of calculation and he realizes the startling fact that, for the manufacturing quantities anticipated, training costs for the key-command system will exceed unit manufacturing costs! The increase in hardware costs would be much more than balanced by the decrease in training costs, even before considering the increase in market that can be expected for a more easily learned system. Are there advantages to the keycommand system in other areas, which need to be balanced? He proceeds with other analyses, considering the load on the user's memory, the potential for user errors, and the likelihood of fatigue. In the next room, the Pascal compiler hums idly, unused, awaiting his decision."

An appropriate information-processing psychology must be based on:

Task analysis, because human behaviour adapts to task environment to attain goals

Calculations, for this is the heart of useful, engineeringoriented applied science

Approximation, for if calculations are going to be created rapidly, they will need to be over-simplified — Back of the envelope, rules of thumb

Also,

Must be *relevant to design*

Must be *theory based*, i.e., must articulate a mechanism underlying the observed phenomena

19.4 The Model Human Processor

The Card Moran Newell science base is expressed in terms of a theory known as *The Model Human Processor (MHP)* (Figs. 19.2, 19.3)

Figure 19.2. The MHP — memories and processors (CMN, p. 26; BGBG p. 590)

Figure 19.3. The MHP — principles of operation (CMN, p. 27)

MHP: Set of memories and processors

MHP: Set of principles, "principle of operation"

MHP: 3 interacting subsystems Perceptual system Motor system Cognitive system

Memories Visual Image Store Auditory Image Store Working (Short Term) Memory Long Term Memory Muscle Memory (not included)

Memory parameters

µ: Storage capacity

δ: Decay time

κ: Code type (physical, acoustic, visual, semantic)

Processors

Perceptual Processor Cognitive Processor Motor Processor

Processor parameters τ: Cycle Time

Parameters estimated from psychological literature

Clearly an oversimplification, but that's what all models are. Question is: what is its predictive power?

19.5 Principles of Operation of the MHP

- P0: Recognize Act Cycle of the Cognitive Processor Working Memory \Rightarrow Actions (based on Long Term Memory) ⇒Working Memory
- P1: Variable Perceptual Processor Rate Principle τ*p* varies inversely with stimulus intensity τ*p* = 100[50~200] msec
- P2: Encoding Specificity Principle
- P3: Discrimination Principle
- P4: Variable Cognitive Processor Rate Principle
- P5: Fitt's Law Τ*pos* to move hand to target of size S a distance D away Τ*pos* = ΙΜ log 2 (*D/S* + .5) where $I_M = 100[70 - 120]$ msec/bit (But see paper by MacKenzie in BGBG, pp. 483-493)
- P6: Power Law of Practice Τ*n* to perform a task on nth trial $T_{n=1}T_1n^{-\alpha}$ where $\alpha = .4[.2-.6]$
- P7: Uncertainty Principle
- P8: Rationality Principle Goals + Tasks + Operators + Inputs + Knowledge + Process-limits ⇒ Behaviour
- P9: Problem Space Principle Express rational problemsolving behaviour in terms of

- 1) Set of states of knowledge
- 2) Operators: State ⇒ State
- 3) Constraints on applying operators
- 4) Control knowledge to decide which operator to apply next

19.6 Some Applications of the MHP

- Example: User must press a button whenever a certain letter appears on VDT (CMN, p. 66)
- Step A: Symbol processed by Perceptual Processor Physical representation then in Visual Image Store Visual code soon thereafter in Working Memory τ*p* = 100[50 ~ 200] msec
- Step B: Cognitive Processor translates this to Motor Command τ*c* = 70[25 ~ 170] msec
- Step C: Motor Processor pushes button τ*m*= 70[30 ~ 100] msec

Total = 240[150 ~ 470] msec

Other sample amplifications:

How fast can a person read text? Derivation of Fitt's Law from first principles Predict time savings of moving keys on calculator keypad Predict relative typing speed on two keyboards Predicting, learning, remembering of command names

19.7 Routine Cognitive Skills & Human Information Processing

Dimensions of cognitive behaviour Task: Text editing, programming,... Skill Level: Sensori-motor skill, cognitive skill, problem solving Perceptual processing, cognitive processing, motor processing dimensions

Examples of skilled behaviour Sensori-motor skills Riding a bicycle Tying a necktie Shaving Knitting Cognitive skills Typing Text editing **Sketching** Solving simultaneous equations Problem Solving Playing chess Programming

"As Welford says in his *Fundamentals of Skill:*

Although a distinction is commonly drawn between sensory-motor and mental skills, it is very difficult to maintain completely. All skilled performance is mental in the sense that perception, decision, knowledge and judgment are required. At the same time all skills involve some kind of co-ordinate, overt activity by hand, organs of speech or other effectors. In sensory-motor skills the overt actions clearly form an essential part of the performance, and without them the purpose of the activity as a whole would disappear. In mental skills overt actions play a more incidental part, serving rather to give expression to the skill than forming an essential part of it. (Welford, 1968, p. 21)'

Thus, all skill involves cognition. Perhaps, then, cognitive skills could be distinguished by saying that they are *primarily* cognitive. More penetrating is Welford's characterization (above) of the role of motor behaviour in mental (i.e., cognitive) skill, namely, that it *expresses* the cognitive skill. Manuscript text-editing includes the skills of keystroking and viewing the manuscript and display; however, these perceptual-motor skills are not the essential activity, but the medium through which the cognitive activity gains expression.

The primacy of cognitive activity in cognitive skill does not rob the behaviour of its skillful character, taking the term *skillful* to mean `competent, expert, rapid and accurate performance' (Welford, 1968, p. 12). This includes the sense of effortlessness — smoothly coordinated and patterned behaviour — that is the visible hallmark of skilled performance. Our text-editing experts truly fly over the keybord; and the contrast of their behavior with that of beginners leaves no room for doubt that skill, both perceptual-motor and cognitive, has been acquired" (CMN, p. 358).

Motor behaviour in cognitive skill "*expresses* the cognitive skill"!

Continuum from problem solving to cognitive skill Game playing (solving Towers of Hanoi puzzle, tic-tac-toe, checkers, a lesser extent chess) can move from problem solving ⇒more or less routine cognitive skill Some text editing behaviour was problem solving, now has become routine cognitive skill

John Anderson talks about the *compilation* of problem solving procedures into behaviour skills which are executed more or less automatically

Thus far: Very low level view of the Model Human Processor We would like to model and understand cognitive skills (routine cognitive skills) that are more interesting than typing, for example, text editing, or simple computer-aided design tasks

CMN do this in their book, especially with respect to text editing and to the "manuscript editing" task

Recall P8, the Rationality Principle:

Person's Goals

+ Structure of Task

+ Available Operation (Skills)

+ Inputs of Information

+ Limitation on Knowledge

+ Limits on Processing Ability

⇒Behaviour

In the next lecture, we'll make this more concrete and more useful with the GOMS model and the Keystroke model

19.7 References

Card, S.K. (1984). Human Limits and the VDT Computer Interface. In J. Bennett, D. Case, J. Sandelin, & M. Smith (Eds.) *Visual Display Terminals: Usability Issues and Health Concerns*, Prentice-Hall, 117-155, excerpted in Baecker and Buxton (1987).

Card, S. and Moran, T (1986). User Technology: From Pointing to Pondering. *Proc. ACM Conference on History of Personal Workstations,* 183-198, appears in BGBG, pp. 587-602

Card, S.K., Moran, T.P. and Newell, A. (1980a). Computer Text-Editing: An Information-Processing Analysis of a Routine Cognitive Skill. *Cognitive Psychology* 12, 32-74, reprinted in Baecker and Buxton (1987).

Card, S.K., Moran, T.P. and Newell, A. (1980b). The Keystroke-Level Model for User Performance Time with Interactive Systems. *Communications of the ACM* 23(7), 396-410, reprinted in Baecker and Buxton (1987).

Card, S., Moran, T. and Newell, A. (1983). *The Psychology of Human-Computer Interaction.* Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.