DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CSC 428F/2514F

HUMAN-COMPUTER INTERACTION

Lecture 6

INTERVIEWS AND QUESTIONNAIRES; CONTEXTUAL INQUIRY

6.1 Interviews and questionnaires: the steps	2
6.2 Interviews and questionnaires: the skills	2
6.3 Kinds of data to collect	
6.4 Rating scales	
6.5 Example of rating scales	4
6.6 Caveats on uses of rating scales	5
6.7 Gathering the maximum amount of information	
6.8 Response bias	7
6.9 Rules of questionnaire design	10
6.10 Contextual inquiry	
6.11 References	13

Ronald Baecker
Professor of Computer Science,
Electrical and Computer Engineering, and Management
University of Toronto

Copyright © 1991-7, Marilyn Mantei, Ronald Baecker. All rights reserved.

6.1 Interviews and questionnaires: the steps

Define the problem

Determine the method
Questionnaire or interview
Mode of administration (e.g., face-to-face, by mail, by phone, via computer)

Choose the population and draw the sample, i.e., select people who will get the questionnaire or whom you will interview

Write questions

Design questionnaire

Administer questionnaire or conduct interview

Analyze data

6.2 Interviews and questionnaires: the skills

Art rather than science No theory, merely guidelines

Varied skills required

Researcher — What is wanted, how to analyze Interviewer — How to build rapport with interviewees Writer — How to write unambiguous, neutral questions

Need to be sensitive to

Respondent motives, biases, moods
Effects of survey mechanism, method of delivery

6.3 Kinds of data to collect

Demographic information

Background of respondents

Knowledge of respondents

Event knowledge — What they've experienced Skill knowledge — Perhaps administer test

Meta knowledge — Knowledge about what they know

Attitudes of respondents

Behaviour and expected behaviour of respondents

6.4 Rating scales

Most common technique in attitude measurement

Respondent asked to choose from among a discrete set of mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive alternatives

Example

I am taking this class because I get up late.

Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly
agree				disagree
1	2	3	4	5

Criteria for rating scales and response categories
Validated to reflect approximately equal distinctions
Understandable by most respondent populations
Widely applicable to a large number of questions

6.5 Example of rating scales

Rating degree of acceptance with a stated position, e.g.:

I had no difficulty learning the basic commands of the system.

Strongly agree

Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Rating quality of service, e.g.:

The quality of the training I received was...

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Measuring an opinion, e.g.:

I (approve of) (disapprove of) the plan to install a video monitoring system on our workstations.

Favor Am for Oppose Am against

Think it is a good idea

Think it is a bad idea

Measuring satisfaction with an amount, e.g.:

I think that the number of commands I need to learn to do word processing is...

Too many

About right

Too little

Carrying out a comparison with the past and the future, e.g.: Compared to the way in which we used to do graphics, the new system that was installed is...

Better

About the same

Worse

Measuring importance or the strength of a feeling, e.g.: I am interested in learning more about the new gestural interface...

Very much

Somewhat

Not at all

Mea Whe	asuring front	equency of spreadsheet	of activitie	es, e.g.: ave trouble r	ememberinç	g the commands
Regu Alwa	ularly ys	Often Most of the	e time	Seldom Some of th	e time	Rarely Never
I sha	II describe t	he following	interest in capabilities are in	that many	ing, e.g.: spreadsheet h capability	ts possess. I describe.
Very	interested		Fairly inter	ested	Not a	at all interested
6.6	Caveats	on uses	s of ratin	g scales		
It is						s that have ar responses
If a	correct a	answers, a	and use tl	neir own _l		giving scales, so I together
Pleas parts (1) v (2) g (3) a	se use the fact the fact the progery good ood, but import age for a fair, but did n	ram you atto portant aspe a human-coi		e conference e improved action confe		nent of the
Pleas	of the prog	ollowing nur	nerical ratine ended at the 3	e conference	our assessm e 5	nent of the
a. b. c.	very good paper pres panel pres demonstra	good sentations entations	fair	4 poor	very poor	

6.7 Gathering the maximum amount of information

Gather the maximum amount of information per question

Ask yourself what you will do with the answer to each question

A simple yes or no usually gives little information. If someone doesn't like an interface feature, it doesn't tell you WHY.

Avoid response biases

Ask open-ended questions at the end to obtain information you might have missed

An illustrative example

Some people argure that stores should be open on Sunday to expand the time available for people who work. Others argue that stores should not be open in order to provide all people time to spend with their families. Do you think stores should be open or not open on Sunday?

Better would be

Some people argue that stores should be open on Sunday. Others argue that this is not a good idea. Of the following types of stores, which would you like see open or closed on Sunday?

Open	Don't care	Closed	
			Supermarkets
			Dept. stores
			Car dealers Drugstores
			Diugstores

6.8 Response bias

A question has response bias when the answers received do not reflect the truth about the respondent's behaviour, knowledge, or attitudes

Three types of response errors

Deliberate or motivated errors — Responent tries to keep interviewer from finding out something or to create a good impression

Memory errors — Respondent cannot remember how or when something happened

Communication errors — Questionnaire or interviewer does not make it clear what is being asked OR respondent does not make response clear

Questions that cause response effects

Loaded questions

Embarrassing questions

Ambiguous questions

Questions that assume knowledge

Awkwardly worded questions

Questions about information people forget

Questions which require a lot of information reorganization

Loaded questions— Unfair alternatives

Bad

Some people say it is a waste of money to have computers available for all students at the university. Do you agree or disagree?

Better

Some people say that it is a waste of money to have computers available for all students at the university. Others say that it is extremely important to provide students easy access to computers. Which opinion do you agree with?

Loaded questions — Damning with faint praise Bad

Some people say that the plan to introduce the new Student Registration System was poorly thought out. Others say it will do for now until a better solution comes along. What do you think? Is it a good plan or a poor plan?

Better

Some people favour the plan to introduce the new Student Registration System. Others oppose the plan. Do you think the plan is a good solution or a poor solution?

Loaded questions — Emotionally charged words Bad

Computer scientists have been accused o intentionally generating poor interfaces for users. Do you agree or disagree with this charge?

Better

One of the issues associated with developing user interfaces is the role that computer scientists play in making decisions about the interface. In this area, do you think that computer scientists have done:

- a. an excellent job
- b. a good job
- c. a poor job
- d. a very poor job

Embarrassing questions

Bad

How much time do you spend reading the newspaper?

Better

Did you have a chance to read the newspaper yesterday?

(If respondent says yes, ask)

About how much time did you spend reading the newspaper yesterday? (Or consider asking about entire week!)

Embarrassing questions — Probing deeply in personal matters Bad

Have you ever driven a motor vehicle while legally drunk?

Better

There are times when it is impossible to find alternative transportation after drinking with friends or at a party. Have you ever been in such a situation and had to drive home?

Ambiguous questions

Bad

Do you think the videos have contributed greatly to your educational experience at the conference?

Better

Do you think the videos at the conference help you to understand the user interface being presented

- a. considerably better?
- b. somewhat better?
- c. about the same?

Questions which assume knowledge

Bad

Some people feel that the engineering department was responsible for the security of their systems.

Others feel that it was the student's responsibility not to share his password with friends.

What is your opinion on whose responsibility it was to keep the computer system secure?

Better

Did you hear about the security problems last year in engineering? If no, skip to question x

if yes,

What have you heard?

Do you think the responsibility for the security of the computer system lies with

a. the engineering department b. all engineering students

		J	9		
_	athar				
C.	$OIII \Theta I$				
v.	Othioi			 	

Awkwardly worded questions

Bad

Do you think the libraries at the university should be open or at least have some of their services, especially the short term loan and the accessibility of the reading room for 24 hours per day?

Better

The library has bee	n considering offering some of its services to students
on a 24 hour basis.	Please check those services you think you might use if
they were available	after midnight.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•

 reading room
 short term loan
 audio-visual
 access to library stacks

Questions about information people cannot remember Bad

What did you have for dinner last week Tuesday?

Better

Please think about the events that occurred to you last week Tuesday.

Did you go home after classes?

Did you eat out or prepare food for yourself?

What did you eat?

Questions which ask people to reorganize the information Bad

Approximately how many hours a month do you use a wordprocessor? **Bettter**

Below we list the hours for yesterday in half hour slots. Please mark with an X those half-hour slots in which you used a wordprocessor.

6:00 AM___ 6:30AM___ 7:00 AM

(Or ask about days in the last week, and number of hours per day.)

6.9 Rules of questionnaire design

Never ask a question you don't intend to use Always have an underlying reason for every item on the questionnaire

Ask the easy questions first, the hard ones last Group topic areas together

Run pilot studies of your questionnaire — test it out on people Never use an untested question After each change, test the question again

Put more general open-ended questions at the end, e.g., "what was the best thing about this course."

Keep it simple

6.10 Contextual inquiry

Interview techniques that develop understanding of users and get them involved in the design process

Developed at DEC in the mid to late 80s
Incorporates elements of interviews and observation

Goals

Process for designing systems that support people who engage in similar work in great variety of business contexts and cultures

Process that provides appropriate and helpful information about users' work

Process that is efficient in time

Perspective on usability — a match between work practices and technology

Key principles

Context — actual work environment
Concrete examples, not abstractions and generalities
Ongoing experience, not summary information
Partnership — designer together with user

The user is the expert
Shared control during the inquiry
The creation of shared meaning
Reflection and engagement

Focus — for managing the conversation Fixing the focus Expanding the focus

Conducting a contextual interview
Identifying "customers"
Arranging the visit
Communication of goals, arranging permissions, etc.

Selecting initial and subsequent users
Direct users, managers, and others
Using multiple interviewers
Several points of view
Setting the focus before the interviews
Structure of a contextual interview
Introduction: Establishing a relationship
Ongoing work inquiry
Wrap-up

Note-taking

What users do
What users say
Our interpretation
Disruptions to users' work and workarounds
Aspects of tools use that support or fail to support work

Questions to ask to keep conversation going, e.g.,

"What are you doing?"

"Why are you doing that?"

"Is that what you expected?"

Sharing interpretations and design ideas for validation "I'm hearing you say such and such. Am I on track?" "What you're saying leads me to conclude..." "What if the application did ...?"

Analyzing contextual inquiry information

Some analysis occurs during the interview, but then...

Transcribing the interview

Fixing and evolving the focus of analysis

Interpreting the information

Work structure or work flow

Problems accomplishing the work

Problems in system use

Disruptions caused by the system

Workarounds that are used to avoid disruption from the system

Transparency of the system

Aspects of work process and system use that support work

Recording understandings

Description of users work

Flow or structure of the work

Description of problems in the work

Description of problems with the computer tools

Design ideas that emerge from our understanding of the work

Questions for subsequent interviews

Structuring the understanding — Affinity diagramming

Contextual inquiry as a form of participatory design

Contextual inquiry throughout system development life cycle

6.11 References

Holtzblatt, K. and Jones, S., Conducting and Analyzing a Contextual Interview, BGBG, pp. 241-253

Beyer, H. and Holtzblatt, K., Contextual Design: Defining Customer-Centered Systems, Morgan Kaufmann, 1997